REMARKS

Claims 1 - 52 are pending in the present Application. above-identified Office Action, the In the rejected Claims 1 - 13 under 35 U.S.C. §101 because they are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1 -§102(e) as being 35 U.S.C. rejected under 52 were anticipated by Fong et al.

In response to the 35 U.S.C. \$101 rejection, Claims 1 - 13 have been amended to include the phrase "computer implemented method." By this amendment, Applicants believe that the rejection is no longer warranted and thus request that it be withdrawn.

Further, to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection of Claims 1, 14, 27, 40, Applicants have amended the claims to further limit the claims. Support for the added limitations can be found on page 15, lines 3 - 11.

For the reasons stated more fully below, Applicants submit that the claims are allowable over the applied reference. Hence, reconsideration, allowance and passage to issue are respectfully requested.

As stated in the SPECIFICATION, presently, resource workload manager (WLM) software management such partitioning management software do not interact with each other in a way that combines their capabilities to enable solution to logically the most efficient and flexible For example, a critical partitioned systems (LPARs). application running in a partition that has one processor or CPU may consistently be approaching a maximum amount of CPU usage, even though other processors may sit idly, such

AUS920010887US1

Page 14 of 18

as in the case where a CPU is not assigned to a partition. In this instance, WLM would not be able to provide additional CPU resources on its own, but would require the partitioning management software to assign additional CPUs to the partition. Currently, a system administrator has to repartition the computer system whenever this occurs. Having the system administrator handle this task is not as efficient as it would be if the computer system were to do so automatically. The present invention provides such capability to a computer system.

According to one embodiment of the invention, when it is determined that a workload on a resource in a partition exceeds a maximum threshold, a similar resource is automatically re-allocated to the partition. Further, minimum and maximum percentage usages of the resource by processes running in the partition are also automatically varied.

According to another embodiment of the invention, a workload profile is created for each partition. The workload generally has an amount of workload and a time schedule for each workload. Before a workload is to occur and if the workload on the resources originally assigned to the partition is to exceed a maximum threshold, additional resources are automatically re-allocated to the partition.

The invention is set forth in claims of varying scopes of which Claims 1 and 6, reproduced below in their entirety, are illustrative.

1. A computer implemented method of dynamically re-partitioning a partitioned computer system in response to workloads,

AUS920010887US1

Page 15 of 18

each partition of the partitioned computer system having a plurality of resources and a minimum and a maximum percentage usage for each of the resources by each process being executed in each partition, the computer implemented method comprising the steps of:

determining whether a workload on a resource in a partition exceeds a maximum threshold; and

similar automatically allocating a to the partition if it resource determined that the workload exceeds the automatically said maximum threshold, allocating step includes the step of automatically varying the minimum and the maximum percentage usage of the resource each process executing partition. (Emphasis added.)

Applicants submit that Claim 1, as presently drafted, is not anticipated by Fong et al.

Fong et al., teach a flexible dynamic partitioning (FDP) of resources in a cluster computing environment. allocates/reallocates FDP al., et According to Fond Particularly, partitioning of resources to partitions. resources can be initiated by both application and system Once dynamic partitioning is triggered, FDP triggers. ٥f resource set invoke to partition allows а associated with allocation/reallocation functions The reallocation function performs a set of partition. resource matchings and determines the necessary resource movement among partitions.

However, Fong et al. do not teach the step of automatically varying minimum and maximum percentage usages of a resource by processes running in a partition after a resource has been reallocated to the partition.

AUS920010887US1

Page 16 of 18

Independent Claim 6, reproduced immediately below, was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$102(e) as being anticipated by Fong et al. Applicants respectfully disagree.

6. A computer implemented method of dynamically re-partitioning a partitioned computer system in response to workloads, each partition of the partitioned computer system having a plurality of resources, the computer implemented method comprising the steps of:

creating a workload profile for each partition, the profile having a workload and a workload time schedule; and

resources to a partition before the workload is to occur if the workload on the resources originally assigned to the partition is to exceed a maximum threshold. (Emphasis added.)

As shown in the above-emboldened-italicized limitations, a workload profile that includes a workload time schedule as well as a workload for each partition is used to determine when resources are to be reallocated to the partitions. Thus, unlike the schedule scheme taught by Fong et al. and which the Examiner seemed to have relied on to reject the claim, the workload of the partitions (from the workload profile) controls when the reallocation is to occur.

By contrast, the workload scheme taught by Fong et al., is directed toward a hierarchical scheduling framework. That is, the partitioned system, as taught by Fong et al., is divided into a hierarchical domain whereby the top-level domain contains two or more partitions, the next to the top-level domain being sub-partitions and the AUS920010887US1

Page 17 of 18

next to the next top-level domain being sub-sub-partitions etc. According to the scheduling scheme, resources are reallocated to the top-level domain first and then to the next to the top-level domain etc. (see col. 5, lines 6 - 21). Clearly, this scheduling scheme is quite different from the workload time scheduling used in the present invention.

Since the applied reference does not anticipate neither independent Claims 1 nor 6 and since all the claims in the Application contains the limitations in Claims 1 and 6 in some form or fashion. Applicants submit the claims are allowable. Hence, Applicants once more respectfully request reconsideration, allowance and passage to issue of the claims in the application.

Velel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

submitted,

(\$12) 306-7968

Respectfully

AUS920010887US1